ORIGINAL STUDIES ARTICOLE ORIGINALE

Motor and emotional behavior in experimentally induced depression

Comportamentul motor și emoțional în depresia indusă experimental

Maria G. Puiu¹, Mihnea C. Manea¹, Mirela Manea¹, Simona Tache²

¹"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest
²"Iuliu Haţieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca

Abstract

Background. Sleep changes are frequently associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity and arterial hypertension, and are correlated with major depressive and metabolic disorders.

Aims. We aimed to experimentally study in non-obese and obese female rats the following: depression induced by moderate chronic stress, sleep deprivation, olfactory bulbectomy; the influence of obesity on depression by sleep deprivation; changes in motor and emotional behavior in animals with depression.

Methods. The research was performed in 4 groups (n=10 animals/group), as follows: group I – control group; group II – with depression by sleep deprivation, induced by sound stimuli; group III – female rats with depression induced by olfactory bulbectomy; group IV – female rats with obesity (by administration of 3 ml fat/day by oropharyngeal gavage; the fat lipid content was 93%), and depression by sleep deprivation. Depression by sleep deprivation was induced by exposure for 120 minutes/24 hours (5 minutes/hour, respectively) to a continuous sound stimulus automatically generated by a bell: 5 dB - original model) and using the Kelly method by bilateral olfactory bulbectomy. Involuntary motility was tested using the open field test. The examination moments were: day 1 and day 28. Statistical processing was performed using the Excel application (Microsoft Office 2007) and the StatsDirect v. 2.7.2. program.

Results. The open field test values - emotional score, taking into consideration all groups, evidenced very statistically significant differences between at least two groups, both at moment T_0 (p=0.0078) and at moment T_{28} (p=0.0049). The open field test values - motility score, taking into consideration all groups, showed highly statistically significant differences between at least two groups, both at moment T_{08} (p<0.0001).

Conclusions. Emotional behavior decreases after depression induced by sleep deprivation and olfactory bulbectomy, compared to controls. Involuntary motor behavior increases in all groups with depression, compared to initial values.

Key words: depression, Open Field test.

Rezumat

Premize. Modificările de somn sunt asociate frecvent cu diabetul zaharat de tip 2, obezitatea și hipertensiunea arterială și se corelează cu tulburările depresive majore și cu cele metabolice.

Obiective. Ne-am propus să studiem experimental pe animale, șobolani femele, neobeze și obeze: depresia indusă prin stres moderat cronic, prin deprivare de somn, bulbectomie olfactivă; influența obezității asupra depresiei, prin deprivare de somn; modificările comportamentului motor și emoțional la animale cu depresie.

Metode. Cercetările au fost efectuate pe 4 loturi (n=10 animale/lot), după cum urmează: Lot I – martor control; Lot II – cu depresie prin deprivare de somn, indusă prin stimuli sonori; Lot III – femele cu depresie indusă prin bulbectomie olfactivă; Lot IV – femele cu obezitate (prin administrare de 3 ml de untură/zi prin gavaj orofaringian; conținutul lipidic al unturii a fost de 93%) și depresie, prin deprivare de somn. Depresia prin deprivare a fost indusă prin: expunerea timp de 120 minute/24 ore (respectiv 5 minute/oră) la un stimul sonor continuu generat automat de o sonerie: 5 dB - model original) și metoda Kelly, prin bulbectomie olfactivă bilaterală. Testarea motilității involuntare s-a făcut prin Testul Open Field. Momentele pentru examinare au fost: ziua 1 și ziua 28. Prelucrarea statistică s-a efectuat cu aplicația Excel (din pachetul Microsoft Office 2007) și cu programul StatsDirect v. 2.7.2.

Rezultate. Testul Open Field - scor emotivitate, luând în considerare toate loturile, au fost observate diferențe statistic foarte semnificative între cel puțin două loturi, atât la momentul T_0 (p=0,0078), cât și la momentul T_{28} (p=0,0049). Testul Open Field - scor motilitate, luând în considerare toate loturile, au fost observate diferențe statistic intens semnificative între cel puțin două dintre loturi, atât la momentul T_{08} , cât și la momentul T_{08} (p<0,0001).

Concluzii. Comportamentul emoțional scade după depresia indusă prin deprivare de somn și bulbectomie olfactivă, față de martori. Comportamentul motor involuntar crește la toate loturile cu depresie, față de valorile inițiale.

Cuvinte cheie: depresie, testul Open Field.

Received: 2014, May 12; Accepted for publication: 2014, June 10;

Address for correspondence: "Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, No.8 Eroilor Sanitari Street E-mail: mg_puiu@yahoo.com

Introduction

Depression is a multicausal affective disorder, characterized by mood changes, sleep disorders, alterations of daily routine activity, changes in social behavior, appetite changes. Major depressive disorder (MDD) – mono- or unipolar disorder – may take several forms: major depressive disorder with psychotic factors, melancholy, atypical depression, postpartum depression, recurrent depression, treatment resistant depression, seasonal depressive disorder, and depressive disorder with catatonic factors (Prelipceanu, 2011).

In human pathology, obesity is considered a risk factor in the development of depression (Berk et al., 2013), but at the same time, it can be a comorbidity associated with depression (Kudlow et al., 2013).

Sleep changes (insomnia/hypersomnia) are frequently associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity and arterial hypertension, and are correlated with major depressive and metabolic disorders (Kudlow et al., 2013).

Objectives

We aimed to experimentally study in non-obese and obese female rats the following:

- a) Depression induced by moderate chronic stress, sleep deprivation, olfactory bulbectomy;
- b) The influence of obesity on depression by sleep deprivation;
- c) Changes in motor and emotional behavior in animals with depression.

Hypothesis

Depression studied over the past fifty years in genetic animal or induced depression models is associated with locomotor, emotional, motor learning and memory changes.

Changes of locomotor and emotional behavior and of motor learning capacity have been studied particularly in animals with depression experimentally induced by bilateral olfactory bulbectomy (Takahashi et al., 2011; Romeas et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2009; Roche et al., 2008; Mchedlidze et al., 2011).

The depression - obesity - sleep disorders association led us to study in obese animals with experimentally induced depression the changes of motor and emotional behavior.

Material and methods

Research protocol

a) Period and place of the research

The research was performed in Wistar female rats aged 4 months, with a mean initial weight of 160 g, from the Biobase of the "Iuliu Haţieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj-Napoca. The study was carried out in the Experimental Research Laboratory of the Department of Physiology, with the approval of the Bioethics Board, in the period 1.10.2013-15.11.2013.

The animals were maintained under adequate *vivarium* conditions: constant temperature (20-23°C); humidity 35-45%; light/dark cycle (12 h light, from 8 a.m. / 12 h dark); standard feeding (combined grain feed, Cantacuzino Institute, Bucharest); water *ad libitum*. All procedures

were in accordance with Directive 86/609/EEC of 24 November 1986, regarding the protection of animals used for experimental and scientific purposes.

Subjects and groups

Groups

The research was performed in 4 groups (n=10 animals/group), as follows:

group I –control group;

group II – with depression by sleep deprivation, induced by sound stimuli;

group III – female rats with depression induced by olfactory bulbectomy (Kelly method);

group IV – female rats with obesity (by administration of 3 ml fat/day by oropharyngeal gavage; the fat lipid content was 93%), and depression by sleep deprivation.

The mean weight of the animals was 159.4 g for groups I, II and III and 307 g for group IV on day 28.

- b) Tests applied
- Depression was induced by exposure for 120 minutes/24 hours (5 minutes/hour, respectively) to a continuous sound stimulus automatically generated by a bell: 5 dB original model) and using the Kelly method by bilateral olfactory bulbectomy (Kelly et al., 1997).
- Involuntary motility was tested using the open field test (OFT), according to Denenberg & Whimby (1963). The monitored indicators were emotivity and motility. Emotivity was calculated based on the emotional score (ES): the sum of micturitions and defecations expressed in absolute values. The increase of their number is considered an indicator of anxiety. Spontaneous motility was calculated based on the motility score (MS): the sum of crossings and rearings. The increase of motility is and indicator of the absence of anxiety.

The examination moments were: T_1 (day 1) and T_{28} (day 28).

At the end of the experiment, the animals were euthanized with ketamine in a dose of 0.2 ml/100 g animal.

c) Statistical processing

Statistical analysis

Statistical processing was performed using the Excel application (Microsoft Office 2007) and the StatsDirect v.2.7.2. program.

Results

The statistical analysis of the *open field test values -emotional score, taking into consideration all groups*, evidenced very statistically significant differences between at least two groups both at moment T_0 (p = 0.0078) and at moment T_{28} (p = 0.0049).

The statistical analysis of the *open field test values* - *motility score*, *taking into consideration all groups*, showed highly statistically significant differences between at least two groups, both at moment T_0 and at moment T_{28} (p < 0.0001).

a) Analysis by moments (Table I)

The statistical analysis of the *open field test values for unpaired samples* revealed the following:

- for the emotional score
- \circ at moment T₀ very statistically significant differences between groups I-II (p < 0.01) and statistically significant differences between groups II-IV (p < 0.05)

 Table I

 Comparative analysis for open field test values and statistical significance.

									stical significanc	
Group	OPEN FIELD test	Mean	SE	Median	SD	Min.	Max.		Unpaired sample	
								Groups I – II	Groups I – III	Groups I – IV
I	Emotional score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	9.20	0.7424	10	2.3476	5	12	0.0041	0.0505	0.1027
								0.007	0.0264	0.1911
	Motility score $\begin{array}{c} T_0^{-1} \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	20.10	0.6904	20	2.1833	17	23	0.9917	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
								0.0015	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
								Groups II – III	Groups II – IV	Groups III – IV
	Emotional score T_{0}^{0}	5.80	0.6110	6.50	1.9322	3	8	0.0502	0.023	0.482
II		6.20	0.5538	5.50	1.7512	4	9	0.1581	0.0116	0.028
	Motility score $\begin{array}{c} T_0^{26} \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	20.00	0.6146	19.50	1.9437	17	23	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
		24.00	0.6325	24.00	2.0000	21	27	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
								Statistical significance (p)		
								Stati	stical significance	ce (p)
Group	OPEN FIELD test	Mean	SE	Median	SD	Min.	Max.		stical significant red samples (T ₁ -	
Group	OPEN FIELD test	Mean	SE	Median	SD	Min.	Max.			
Group		Mean 7.40	SE 0.2211	Median 7.50	SD 0.6992	Min.	Max.	Pai	red samples (T ₁ -	Group III
	Emotional score $\frac{T}{T^0}$							Pai	red samples (T ₁ -	T ₂₈)
Group	Emotional score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	7.40	0.2211	7.50	0.6992	6	8	Pai	red samples (T ₁ -Group II 0.7422	T ₂₈) Group III 0.4609
	Emotional score $\frac{T}{T^0}$	7.40 7.00	0.2211 0.2981	7.50 7.00	0.6992 0.9428	6 6	8 9	Pai	red samples (T ₁ -	Group III
	Emotional score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	7.40 7.00 6.80	0.2211 0.2981 0.4163	7.50 7.00 7.00	0.6992 0.9428 1.3166	6 6	8 9 8	Pai	red samples (T ₁ -Group II 0.7422	T ₂₈) Group III 0.4609
	Emotional score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \\ T_0 \\ T_{28} \end{array}$ Motility score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	7.40 7.00 6.80	0.2211 0.2981 0.4163	7.50 7.00 7.00	0.6992 0.9428 1.3166	6 6	8 9 8 10	Group I Group IV	red samples (T ₁ -Group II 0.7422	T ₂₈) Group III 0.4609
III	Emotional score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \\ T_0 \\ T_{28} \end{array}$ Emotional score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	7.40 7.00 6.80 7.60	0.2211 0.2981 0.4163 0.3399	7.50 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	0.6992 0.9428 1.3166 1.0750	6 6 5 7	8 9 8 10	Pai Group I —	red samples (T ₁ -Group II 0.7422	T ₂₈) Group III 0.4609
	Emotional score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \\ T_0 \\ T_{28} \end{array}$ Motility score $\begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ T_{28} \\ T_{28} \end{array}$	7.40 7.00 6.80 7.60	0.2211 0.2981 0.4163 0.3399	7.50 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.50	0.6992 0.9428 1.3166 1.0750	6 6 5 7	8 9 8 10	Group I Group IV	red samples (T ₁ -Group II 0.7422	T ₂₈) Group III 0.4609

- \circ at moment T₂₈ very statistically significant differences between groups I-II (p < 0.01) and statistically significant differences between groups I-III, II-IV and III-IV (p < 0.05)
 - for the motility score
- \circ at moment T_{0} highly statistically significant differences between groups I-III, I-IV, II-III and II-IV (p $<\!0.001)$
- \circ at moment T₂₈ very statistically significant differences between groups I-II (p < 0.01) and highly statistically significant differences between groups I-III, I-IV, II-III, II-IV and III-IV (p < 0.001).
 - b) Analysis by groups (Table I)

The statistical analysis of the *open field test values for* paired samples (T_0-T_{28}) evidenced for:

- the emotional score highly statistically significant differences for group I (p < 0.001)
- the motility score highly statistically significant differences for group I (p < 0.001) and very statistically significant differences for groups II and IV (p < 0.01).
- c) Correlation analysis of scores by groups and moments (Table II)

Table II Statistical correlation analysis between the open field test scores in the four groups.

Group	Moment	Emotional score - Motility score				
ĭ	To	-0.1250	*			
1	T,8	-0.1250	*			
11	T _o	0.6050	***			
II	T_{28}^{σ}	-0.6669	***			
Ш	T_0^{28}	0.4401	**			
111	T,8	-0.2662	**			
IV	T	-0.1526	*			
1 V	T, 0	-0.4235	**			

For *group I*, the statistical correlation analysis between the values of the studied indicators showed:

- at moment T_0 - a weak/null correlation between the emotional score and the motility score

- at moment T_{28} - a weak/null correlation between the emotional score and the motility score.

For *group II*, the statistical correlation analysis between the values of the studied indicators showed:

- at moment T_0 a good positive correlation between the emotional score and the motility score
- at moment T_{28} a good negative correlation between the emotional score and the motility score.

For *group III*, the statistical correlation analysis between the values of the studied indicators showed:

- at moment $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ an acceptable positive correlation between the emotional score and the motility score
- at moment T_{28} an acceptable negative correlation between the emotional score and the motility score.

For *group IV*, the statistical correlation analysis between the values of the studied indicators showed:

- at moment $T_{\rm o}$ a weak/null correlation between the emotional score and the motility score
- at moment T_{28} an acceptable negative correlation between the emotional score and the motility score.

Discussions

Our research was performed on female rats, given the disease prevalence of 25% for women and 12% for men (Prelipceanu 2011).

Our results for OFT show that depression induced by sleep deprivation (G II) determines at 28 days, compared to controls (G I), significant decreases of ES and significant increases of MS, with a good negative correlation between the scores.

Depression induced by olfactory bulbectomy (G III) determines at 28 days, compared to controls (G I), significant decreases of ES and MS, with an acceptable negative correlation between the scores. Compared to the group in which depression was induced by sleep deprivation (G II), significant decreases of MS were found.

In obese animals, in which depression was induced by sleep deprivation (G IV), at 28 days, there were significant decreases of MS compared to controls (G I), significant increases of ES and significant decreases of MS compared

to non-obese animals with depression induced by sleep deprivation (G II), and significant increases of ES and MS compared to animals with depression induced by olfactory bulbectomy (G III). In group IV, there was an acceptable negative correlation between ES and MS.

Compared to initial values (moment T_0), at 28 days (moment T_{28}), there were significant increases of ES in G II and G IV and significant decreases of ES in G III. The motility score increased significantly at 28 days in groups II and IV, with the highest increases in G II.

The comparative analysis of the two induced depression models (G II and G III) only showed changes in MS, which significantly decreased in G III, at moments T_0 and T_{28} .

Obesity in animals with depression (G IV), compared to controls (G II), caused changes in ES, which significantly increased at moments $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ and $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 28}$, and in MS, which significantly decreased at moments $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ and $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 28}$.

Our data showed a diminution of locomotor activity: involuntary motility and exploratory behavior in animals with depression induced by olfactory bulbectomy, compared to animals with depression by sleep deprivation. In obese animals with experimentally induced depression, there was a diminution of locomotor activity: involuntary motility and exploratory behavior compared to non-obese depressive control animals.

Our results are in accordance with the data of other authors regarding the decrease of locomotor and exploratory behavior and the increase of immobility in animals with induced depression (Che et al., 2013; Tasset et al., 2010; Husain et al., 2011; Shaw et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009; Romeas et al., 2009).

Moderate chronic stress by sound stimuli, used by us for inducing depression, is a valid model that supports its association with depression, as a form of stress by overstraining, which elicits characteristic locomotor and emotional behavioral responses (Derevenco et al., 1992; Riga & Riga 2008; Prelipceanu, 2011).

Physical exercise has favorable anti-depressive effects on locomotor activity in depression (Bruja, 2014; Che et al., 2013; Hendriksen et al., 2012; Shaw et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009; Romeas et al., 2009; Roche et al., 2008) and is recommended as a form of therapy in depression.

Conclusions

- 1. Emotional behavior decreases after depression induced by sleep deprivation and olfactory bulbectomy, compared to controls.
- 2. Involuntary motor behavior increases after depression induced by sleep deprivation and decreases after depression induced by olfactory bulbectomy and in obese animals with depression induced by sleep deprivation, compared to controls.
- 3. Involuntary motor behavior increases in all groups with depression, compared to initial values.

Conflicts of interests

There are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This paper is based on preliminary research data for the first author's doctoral thesis.

References

- Berk M, Williams LJ, Jacka FN, O'Neil A et al. So depression is an inflammatory disease, but where does the inflammation come from? BMC Med. 2013;11:200.
- Bruja OP. Terapia prin mișcare în depresie. Teză de doctorat, UMF "Carol Davila" București, 2014.
- Che Y, Cui YH, Tan H et al. Abstinence from repeated amphetamine treatment induces depressive-like behaviors and oxidative damage in rat brain. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2013; 227(4):605-614.
- Denenberg VH, Whimby AE. Behaviour of adult rats is modified by the experiences their mothers had as infants. Science. 1963; 142:1192-1193.
- Derevenco P, Anghel I, Băban A. Stresul în sănătate și boală. Ed. Dacia Cluj-Napoca, 1992, 17-42.
- Gao LC, Wang YT, Lao X et al. The change of learning and memory ability in the rat model of depression. Fen Zi Xi Bao Sheng Wu Xue Bao. 2009; 42(1):20-26.
- Hendriksen H, Meulendijks D, Douma TN et al. Environmental enrichment has antidepressant-like action without improving learning and memory deficits in olfactory bulbectomized rats. Neuropharmacology. 2012; 62(1):270-277.
- Husain GM, Chatterjee SS, Singh PN, Kumar V. Beneficial effect of Hypericum perforatum on depression and anxiety in a type 2 diabetic rat model. Acta Pol Pharm. 2011;68(6):913-918.
- Kelly JP, Wrynn AS, Leonard BE. The olfactory bulbectomized rat as model of depression: an update. Pharm. Therap, 1997; 74(3):299-316.
- Kudlow PA, Cha DS, Lam RW, McIntyre RS. Sleep architecture variation: a mediator of metabolic disturbance in individuals with major depressive disorder. Sleep Med. 2013; 14(10):943-949.
- Mchedlidze O, Dzadzamia Sh, Butskhrikidze M et al. Changes of locomotor, exploratory and emotional behavior in animal model of depression induced by deficiency of brain monoamine content. Georgian Med News. 2011;(198):76-82.
- Prelipceanu D. Psihiatrie clinică. Ed. Med. București, 2011, 463-478
- Riga S, Riga D. Stresologie, adaptologie și sănătate mintală. Cartea Universitară București, 2008, 116-126, 121-126.
- Roche M, Shanahan E, Harkin A, Kelly JP. Trans-species assessment of antidepressant activity in a rodent model of depression. Pharmacol Rep. 2008; 60(3):404-408.
- Romeas T, Morissette MC, Mnie-Filali O et al. Simultaneous anhedonia and exaggerated locomotor activation in an animal model of depression. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2009; 205(2):293-303.
- Shaw FZ, Chuang SH, Shieh KR, Wang YJ. Depression-and anxiety-like behaviors of a rat model with absence epileptic discharges. Neuroscience. 2009;160(2):382-393.
- Takahashi K, Murasawa H, Yamaguchi K et al. Riluzole rapidly attenuates hyperemotional responses in olfactory bulbectomized rats, an animal model of depression. Behav Brain Res. 2011; 216(1):46-52.
- Tasset I, Medina FJ, Peña J et al. Olfactory bulbectomy induced oxidative and cell damage in rat: protective effect of melatonin. Physiol Res. 2010;59(1):105-112.
- Wang SH, Zhang ZJ, Guo YJ et al. Anhedonia and activity deficits in rats: impact of post-stroke depression. J Psychopharmacol. 2009;23(3):295-304.